Attorney's Docket No.: 42.P15714	PATENT

DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR PATENT APPLICATION (FOR INTEL CORPORATION PATENT APPLICATIONS)

			4
As a below named inventor	r, I hereby declare tha	t:	
My residence, post office a	ddress and citizenship	are as stated below, next to m	y name.
first, and joint inventor (if pl	lural names are listed it on the invention enti	(if only one name is listed below below) of the subject matter whatled METHOD AND APPARATL ich	ich is claimed and
Ur or	d hereto. on (MM/DD/YYYY) nited States Applicatio PCT International Applicational Applicational Applicational Machinery (Machinery)	plication Number	as
specification, including the know and do not believe th America before my invention and do not believe that the America more than one yebeen patented or made the application in any country from legal representatives or months (for a design patential patential to the second s	claim(s), as amended at the claimed invention thereof, or patented in thereof or more than claimed invention was ar prior to this applicate subject of an invento oreign to the United S r assigns more than to the application) prior to the subject of a s		above. I do not be United States of oblication in any m. I do not know United States of at the invention has date of this on filed by me or application) or six
defined in Title 37, Code of		n known to me to be material to Section 1.56.	patentability as
foreign application(s) for pa	atent or inventor's cert patent or inventor's ce	e 35, United States Code, Section ificate listed below and have also artificate having a filing date before the control of the	o identified below
Prior Foreign Application(s)		Priority <u>Claimed</u>
(Number)	(Country)	(Foreign Filing Date - MM/DD/YYYY)	Yes No
I hereby claim the benefit uprovisional application(s) li	under Title 35, United stated below:	States Code, Section 119(e) of a	any United States

INTEL CORPORATION Rev. 05/09/02 (D3 INTEL)

Application Number

(Filing Date – MM/DD/YYYY)

application(s) listed below an is not disclosed in the prior U of Title 35, United States Cocknown to me to be material to	d, insofar as the subject matter on ited States application in the male, Section 112, I acknowledge to patentability as defined in Title available between the filing date	Section 120 of any United States of each of the claims of this application anner provided by the first paragraph he duty to disclose all information 37, Code of Federal Regulations, of the prior application and the national
Application Number	(Filing Date – MM/DD/YYYY)	Status patented, pending, abandoned
part of this document) as my	respective patent attorneys and o prosecute this application and	ich is incorporated by reference and a patent agents, with full power of to transact all business in the Patent
	ard 7th Floor, Los Angeles, C	SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN alifornia 90025 and direct telephone
statements made on inform statements were made with are punishable by fine or in	nation and belief are believed on the knowledge that willful fal nprisonment, or both, under S willful false statements may jo	wn knowledge are true and that all to be true; and further that these se statements and the like so made ection 1001 of Title 18 of the United eopardize the validity of the
Full Name of Sole/First Inven	tor Barnes Cooper	
Inventor's Signature		Date
Residence Beaverton OR	Cit	izenship USA

INTEL CORPORATION
Rev. 05/09/02 (D3 INTEL)

Post Office Address 16425 SW Cornelian Way

Beaverton OR 97007

APPENDIX A

Ramin Aghevli, Reg. No. 43,462; William E. Alford, Reg. No. 37,764; Farzad E. Amini, Reg. No. 42,261; W. Thomas Babbitt, Reg. No. 39,591; Jordan M. Becker, Reg. No. 39,602; Roger W. Blakely, Jr., Reg. No. 25,831; R. Alan Burnett, Reg. No. 46,149; Daniel J. Burns, Reg. No. 50,222; Gregory D. Caldwell, Reg. No. 39,926; Thomas M. Coester, Reg. No. 39,637; Robert P. Cogan, Reg. No. 25,049; Mimi D. Dao, Reg. No. 45,628; Stephen M. De Klerk, Reg. No. 46,503; Daniel M. De Vos, Reg. No. 37,813; Justin M. Dillon, Reg. No. 42,486; Sanjeet Dutta, Reg. No. 46,145; Tarek N. Fahmi, Reg. No. 41,402; Mark C. Farrell, Reg. No. 45,988; Thomas S. Ferrill, Reg. No. 42,532; Kyle H. Flindt, Reg. No. 42,539; George L. Fountain, Reg. No. 37,374; Andre M. Gibbs, Reg. No. 47,593; James Y. Go, Reg. No. 40,621; Mark A. Goldstein, Reg. No. 50,759; Alan E. Heimlich, Reg. No. 48,808; James A. Henry, Reg. No. 41,064; Willmore F. Holbrow III, Reg. No. 41,845; Sheryl Sue Holloway, Reg. No. 37,850; George W Hoover II, Reg. No. 32,992; Eric S. Hyman, Reg. No. 30,139; William W. Kidd, Reg. No. 31,772; Walter T. Kim, Reg. No. 42,731; Eric T. King, Reg. No. 44,188; Steve Laut, Reg. No. 47,736; Suk S. Lee, Reg. No. 47,745; Gordon R. Lindeen III, Reg. No. 33,192; Jan C. Little, Reg. No. 41,181; Julio Loza, Reg. No. 47,758; Joseph Lutz, Reg. No. 43,765; Lawrence Lycke, Reg. No. 38,540; Michael J. Mallie, Reg. No. 36,591; Andre L. Marais, Reg. No. 48,095; Raul D. Martinez, Reg. No. 46,904; Paul A. Mendonsa, Reg. No. 42,879; Clive D. Menezes, Reg. No. 45,493; Jonathan S. Miller, Reg. No. 48,534; Richard A. Nakashima, Reg. No. 42,023; Thinh V. Nguyen, Reg. No. 42,034; Robert B. O'Rourke, Reg. No. 46,972; Daniel E. Sanda S. Robert B. O'Robert B. Robert B. R Ovanezian, Reg. No. 41,236; Marina Portnova, Reg. No. 45,750; Michael A. Proksch, Reg. No. 43,021; William F. Ryann, Reg. 44,313; James H. Salter, Reg. No. 35,668; William W. Schaal, Reg. No. 39,018; James C. Scheller, Reg. No. 31,195; Jeffrey S. Schubert, Reg. No. 43,098; Saina S. Shamilov, Reg. No. · 48,266; Kevin G. Shao, Reg. No. 45,095; Stanley W. Sokoloff, Reg. No. 25,128; Judith A. Szepesi, Reg. 🌣 No. 39,393; Ronald S. Tamura, Reg. No. 43,179; Edwin H. Taylor, Reg. No. 25,129; John F. Travis, Reg. 44. No. 43,203; Thomas J. Treutler, Reg. No. 51,126; Kerry D. Tweet, Reg. No. 45,959; Mark C. Van Ness, Reg. No. 39,865; Thomas A. Van Zandt, Reg. No. 43,219; Lester J. Vincent, Reg. No. 31,460; John P. Ward, Reg. No. 40,216; Mark L. Watson, Reg. No. 46,322; Thomas C. Webster, Reg. No. 46,154; Linda S. Zachariah, Reg. No. 48,057; and Norman Zafman, Reg. No. 26,250; my patent attorneys, and William E. Hickman, Reg. No. 46,771, Brent E. Vecchia, Reg. No. 48,011, and Lehua Wang, Reg. No. 48,023; my patent agents, of BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP, with offices located at 12400 Wilshire Boulevard, 7th Floor, Los Angeles, California 90025, telephone (310) 207-3800, and Alan K. Aldous, Reg. No. 31,905; Ed Brake, Reg. No. 37,784; Benjamin D. Burge, Reg. No. 42,372; Robert A. Burtzlaff, Reg. No. 35,466; Jeffrey S. Draeger, Reg. No. 41,000; Cynthia Thomas Faatz, Reg No. 39,973; Jeffrey B. Huter, Reg. No. 41,086; John Kacvinsky, Reg. No. 40,040; Seth Z. Kalson, Reg. No. 40,670; Peter Lam, Reg. No. 44,855; Anthony Martinez, Reg No. 44,223; Paul Nagy, Reg. No. 37,896; Dennis A. Nicholls, Reg. No. 42,036; Leo V. Novakoski, Reg. No. 37,198; Lanny Parker, Reg. No. 44,281; Thomas C. Reynolds, Reg. No. 32,488; Kenneth M. Seddon, Reg. No. 43,105; Mark Seeley, Reg. No. 32,299; Steven P. Skabrat, Reg. No. 36,279; Howard A. Skaist, Reg. No. 36,008; Robert G. Winkle, Reg. No. 37,474; Sharon Wong, Reg. No. 37,760; Steven D. Yates, Reg. No. 42,242; Calvin E. Wells; Reg. No. 43,256 and Charles K. Young, Reg. No. 39,435, my patent agents, of INTEL CORPORATION; and James R. Thein, Reg. No. 31,710, my patent attorney; with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected herewith.

APPENDIX B

Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56 Duty to Disclose Information Material to Patentability

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
 - (1) Prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) The closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
- (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
 - (2) Elt refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
 - (1) Each inventor named in the application;
 - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
- (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.
- (e) In any continuation-in-part application, the duty under this section includes the duty to disclose to the Office all information known to the person to be material to patentability, as defined in paragraph (b) of this section, which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of the continuation-in-part application.